1	
2	
3	
4	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
5	BEFORE THE
6	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
7	•
8	DE 09-170
9	2010 CORE Electric Energy Efficiency Programs
10	
11	
12	
13	SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY
14	<u>OF</u>
15	JAMES J. CUNNINGHAM JR.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Date: December 9, 2009
21	

1	Q.	Please state your name, current position and business address.
2	A.	My name is James J. Cunningham Jr. and I am employed by the New Hampshire Public
3		Utilities Commission (Commission) as a Utility Analyst. My business address is 21 S.
4		Fruit Street, Suite 10, Concord New Hampshire, 03301.
5		
6	Q.	Please summarize your educational and professional background.
7	A.	I am a graduate of Bentley College, Waltham, Massachusetts, and I hold a Bachelor of
8		Science-Accounting Degree. I joined the Commission in 1988. In 1995, I completed the
9		NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program and Michigan State University, sponsored
10		by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. In 1998 I completed
11		the Depreciation Studies Program sponsored by the Society of Depreciation Professionals
12		of which I'm a member. In 2002, I worked on the Staff team that recommended re-
13		institution of the Commission's natural gas energy efficiency programs. I have reviewed
14		and provided direct testimony on a variety of topics pertaining to New Hampshire
15		electric, natural gas, steam and water utilities. I was promoted to my current position of
16		Utility Analyst IV in 2008.
17		
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
19	A.	The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to update my direct testimony of

19 A. The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to update my direct testimony of
20 November 6, 2009 pertaining to the HEA low income budget allocation. Specifically,
21 I'm updating the *starting point* used in my recommended formula approach. It's
22 important to note that I'm not changing the framework of the formula approach, only the
23 starting point.

Q. Please describe the change to the starting point.

24

25

A.	I'm changing the starting point to reflect a more accurate split between Residential and
	C&I funding sources. My direct filed testimony utilized the CORE budget dollars to
	establish the allocation between Residential and C&I funding sources. This supplemental
	testimony utilizes the projected 2010 kWh sales and the Forward Capacity Market (FCM)
	proceeds to establish the split between Residential and C&I funding sources.
	With respect to kWh sales, the split between Residential and C&I funding is 40% and
	60% respectively. With respect to the FCM proceeds, the Residential would receive 30%
	and the C&I would receive 70%. The combination of projected 2010 kWh sales and
	FCM proceeds results in an overall allocation of funding between Residential and C&I
	funding of 39.5% and 60.5% respectively. See attached Schedule JJC-S1 for the details
	of these calculations.

Q. Does the updated split between Residential and C&I funds change your recommended budget for the Home Energy Assistance (HEA) low income program?

A. Yes, the HEA low income program budget is reduced. The formula approach utilizes the Residential funding amount in concert with the estimated eligibility data to establish the HEA low income budget. If the Residential funding decreases, then there is a decrease in the HEA low income budget. In the alternate, if the Residential funding increases, then there is an increase in the HEA low income budget.

My supplemental testimony reduces the Residential funds; therefore, the HEA low income budget is reduced. Specifically, my supplemental testimony recommends a budget for the HEA low income program of \$2.254 million, a reduction of approximately \$355 thousand from the \$2.609 million in my direct testimony.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is a third component, minor in amount, including the carry forward balances and other unidentified impacts. This amount was split based on the 2010 projected kWh sales forecast.

1	Q.	why do your believe your supplemental testimony more accurately establishes the
2		HEA low income budget?
3	A.	As noted in my direct testimony, at page 19-20, I used the Residential Sector budget as
4		the starting point of my calculation to determine the HEA low income budget. This was
5		not an accurate starting point. The Residential Sector budget dollars already included the
6		HEA low income budget; hence, by applying the estimated low income eligibility percent
7		to budget dollars that already included the HEA low income budget amount, I overstated
8		the HEA low income budget.
9		My supplemental testimony corrects for this overstatement. My supplemental testimony
10		utilizes the estimated funding that pertains to the Residential Sector, before the
11		determination of the HEW low income budget amount. Specifically, my supplemental
12		testimony establishes \$1.404 million as the HEA low income budget pertaining to the
13		Residential Sector. See attached Schedule JJC-S2 for the details of this calculation.
14		
15	Q.	Does your supplemental testimony recommend that the C&I Sector share in the cost
16		of the HEA low income program?
17	A.	Yes, as noted at the outset, my supplemental testimony does <u>not</u> change the framework of
18		the recommended HEA low income formula approach. The recommended formula
19		approach continues to provide that the C&I Sector share in the cost of the HEA low
20		income program, pursuant to Commission guidelines. Specifically, my supplemental
21		testimony establishes \$849 thousand as the HEA low income budget that comes from the
22		C&I Sector. See attached Schedule JJC-S2 for the details of this calculation.
23		
24	Q.	What percentage would the HEA low income program budget be in 2010 to the
25		overall CORE program budget?

1	A.	The HEA low income program budget would be 11.68% of the overall 2010 CORE

program budget. See attached Schedule JJC-S2 for the details of this calculation.

- Q. After the budget pertaining to the HEA low income program is deducted, how are the remaining funds distributed to Residential and C&I programs?
- A. The remaining funds are distributed based on the same percentages pertaining to the funding sources. Specifically, 39.55% of the remaining funds are distributed to the Residential Sector and 60.45% are distributed to the C&I Sector. See attached Schedule JJC-S2 for the details of this percentage distribution.

## Q. Do you have any other comments?

A. Yes. As explained above, this supplemental testimony updates the starting point of the formula approach and **no changes** are being made to the framework of the formula approach. I continue to believe that the formula approach for establishing the HEA low income budget is an important improvement for the Commission to make going forward. The formula approach is more transparent since it is based on readily available data from the Census Bureau and the DOE. It is less burdensome from an administrative standpoint without sacrificing any accuracy and will, in my view, save time and effort that would otherwise be spent in negotiating sessions. My formula approach is consistent with Commission orders. Specifically, it is consistent with Commission Order No. 23,574 that directs "that program funds should be allocated to the residential and commercial and industrial sectors in approximate proportion to their contributions to the fund" and that "the low programs should be funded by all customers."<sup>2</sup>

## Q. Does that complete your testimony?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reference Commission Order No. 23,574, at page 6.

1 A. Yes, it does, thank you.

#### **Summary of CORE Budget Funding Sources**

	_		At Overali Bu	idget Level		At Prog	ram Budget Level
		Incit	uding Perform	ance Incentiv	es	Exclud	ing Perf. Incent.
	_	kWh's	SBC Rate	Amount	Percent		Amount
	_	(2010 Projected)				(Overa	ll Budget / 1.08)
SBC Funding Source:							
Residential	(1)	4,277,774,000	\$ 0.0018 \$	7,699,993	40.31%	\$	7,129,623
C&1	(1)_	6,334,275,000	\$ 0.0018 \$	1 <b>1</b> ,401,695	59.6 <del>9</del> %	_\$	10,557,125
Sub-Total	(1)	10,612,049,000	\$	19,101,688		\$	17,686,748
FCM Funding Source:							
Residential			30% \$	461,220	30.00%	\$	427,056
C&I			70% <u>\$</u>	1,076,180	70.00%	_\$	99 <u>6,463</u>
Sub-Total			\$	1,537,400	_	\$	1,423,519
Carryover Funding/Other	Sources: (2)						
Residential			\$	77,897	40.31%	\$	72,127
C&I			\$	115,346	59.69%	\$	106,801
Sub-Total			\$	193,243	-	\$	178,929
Total All Funding Sources:							
Residential			\$	8,239, <b>1</b> 10	39.55%	\$	7,628,806
C&I			\$	12,593,22 <b>1</b>	60.45%	<b> </b> \$	11,660,389
Grand Total			\$	20,832,331	(3)	\$	19,289,195 (4)
			_	<del>'</del>	=		

### footnotes:

(1) Source: data response from companies, Staff 1-20 (attached).

(2) Derivation of Carryover Funding/Other Sources:

 Total Budget per Filing, page 89
 \$ 20,832,331

 Less: SBC Funding, above
 \$ (19,101,688)

 Less: FCM Funding, above
 \$ (1,537,400)

 Net Carryover Funding/Other
 \$ 193,243

(3) Source: Filing at page 89(4) Source: Filing at page 88

SCH. JJG51
ATTACHMENT
P. 10F5

Public Service Company of New

Hampshire

**Docket No. DE 09-170** 

**Data Request STAFF-01** 

Dated: 10/19/2009 Q-STAFF-020 Page 1 of 5

Witness:

Thomas R. Belair

Request from:

New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission Staff

#### Question:

Reference filing at page 5, Table 1.2 and page 35. Please provide the 2010 kWh sales forecasts for each company, broken down into (1) Residential Sector and (2) C&I Sector. Also, please provide the same kWh sales data for actual 2006, 2007 and 2008.

### Response:

Please see attached spreadsheet.

(Joint Utility Response)

SCH JJC-SI	
ATTACHMENT	
P. 245	

Docket No. 09-170
Data Request: STAFF-01
Dated: 10/19/2009
Q-STAFF-020
NGRID
Page 2 of 5

# NH CORE Energy Efficiency Programs Sales Data

Ę

Year	Residential MWHs	Commercial MWHs	Industrial MWHs	Total Retail Sales MWHs	
2006	298,100	473,500	119,700	891,300	Actual
2007	293,600	480,600	122,900	897,100	Actual
2008	284,700	482,300	122,000	889,000	Actual
2010	285.300	452,000	113,400	850,700	Forecast

SCH JJC -SI ATTACHMENT P. 3 of 5

Docket No. 09-170
Data Request: STAFF-01
Dated: 10/19/2009
Q-STAFF-020
NHEC
Page 3 of 5

# NH CORE Energy Efficiency Programs Sales Data

Year	Residential MWHs	Commercial & Industrial MWHs	Total Retail	Sales
	INIAALIZ	IVIVALIS	MWHs	
2006	449,963	292,879	742,843	Actu
2007	451,856	299,353	751,209	Actu
2008	446,247	279,903	726,150	Actu
2010	439,437	274,010	713,446	Forec

Docket No. 09-170 Data Request: STAFF-01 Dated: 10/19/2009

7,828,389

Q-STAFF-020

Page 4 of 5 PSNH

Forecast

**NH CORE Energy Efficiency Programs** Sales Data

3,058,848

2010

_				-		
Year	Residential MWHs	Commercial MWHs	Industrial MWHs	Street Light MWHs	Total Retail MWHs	Sales
2006	3,089,336	3,338,513	1,578,972	23,082	8,029,903	Actual
2007	3,171,847	3,404,586	1,535,876	24,229	8,136,537	Actual
2008 2009	3,120,318	3,379,802	1,446,086	24,745	7,970,952	Actual

1,337,942

24,521

3,407,078

SCH JJC-SI
ATTACHMENT
P. 5 OF 5

Docket No. 09-170 Data Request: STAFF-01 Dated: 10/19/2009

Q-STAFF-020

UES Page 5 of 5

NH CORE Energy Efficiency Programs
Sales Data

				_
Year	Residential MWHs	hmercial & Indus MWHs	Total Retail MWHs	Sales
2006 2007 2008	500,195 500,976 490,415	619,134 625,063 606,454	1,119,329 1,126,039 1,096,869	Actual Actual Actual
2010	494,189	725,324	1,219,513	Forecast

## DE 09-170 2010 CORE Program

# Recalculation of Starting Point - HEA Formula Approach

	Reference	_	Amount	Percent
Calculation of HEA Low income Budget Allocation:				
Sector Level Budgets				
Residential Sector	(1)	\$	7,628,806	39.55%
C&I Sector	(1)	\$	11,660,389	60.45%
Total CORE Budget		\$	19,289,195	100.00%
Low Income Budget Before C&I Funding				
Residential Sector Budget		\$	7,628,806	
Percent of NH population below Federal Poverty Guideline	(2) (3)		18.41%	
Low Income Budget Before C&I Funding		\$	1,404,463	
C&I Funding Amount:				
Low Income Budget Before C&I Funding		\$	1,404,463	
C&I Percent			60.45%	
C&I Funding Calculation:		\$	849,003	
Grand Total HEA Low Income Budget Allocation		\$	2,253,466	
Low Income Budget Allocation Total CORE Budget Percent to Total Budget		\$ \$ —	2,253,466 19,289,195 11.68%	
Percent to Total Budget			11.68%	
Distribution of Remaining CORE Budget:				
Total CORE Budget		\$	19,289,195	
Less: HEA Low Income Budget Allocation		\$	(2,253,466)	
Remaining CORE Budget		\$	17,035,729	
Residential Sector		\$	6,737,568	39.55%
C&i Sector		\$	10,298,161	60.45%
Total Remaining CORE Budget		\$	17,035,729	100.00%
footnotes:				
(1) See Schedule JJC-S1				
(2) Staff Recommendation based on 200 percent Income-To-Poverty leve				
Source: US DOE Weatherization Program Notice 09-5	, effective Feb	ruary	•	
NH Population			1,306,991	
NH Population at 200% Income-To-Poverty level			240,671	
Percent 200% to total NH Population			18.41%	
(3) Source: www.census.gov/hhes/www/cpstc/cps_table_creator.html (4) C&I Funding is required by Commission Order No. 23,574, dated Nove	ember 1, 2000,	page	6.	